Groups with vanishing class size p

Mariagrazia Bianchi

Università degli Studi di Milano Mariagrazia.Bianchi@unimi.it

Cremona, June 2019

Mariagrazia Bianchi Groups with vanishing class size p

1/12

Some general notation

In this talk, every group is assumed to be a finite group. If the character table of G is known, then some deep structural information on the group G itself can be deduced and many results in the literature show that the distribution of zeros in the character table is significant in this context.

Historical overview

- ▶ The study of conjugacy class sizes in finite groups goes back to the beginning of character theory, when Burnside proved that a simple group does not have a conjugacy class size that is a prime power.
- ▶ Ito began the study of the structure of a group in terms of the number of conjugacy class sizes in (1953) in his paper "On finite groups with given conjugacy types,I", where he proved that a group having only two conjugacy class sizes must be the direct product of a *p*-group and an abelian group.

Historical overview

- ▶ The study of conjugacy class sizes in finite groups goes back to the beginning of character theory, when Burnside proved that a simple group does not have a conjugacy class size that is a prime power.
- ▶ Ito began the study of the structure of a group in terms of the number of conjugacy class sizes in (1953) in his paper "On finite groups with given conjugacy types,I", where he proved that a group having only two conjugacy class sizes must be the direct product of a *p*-group and an abelian group.

- ▶ Ishikawa had a major breakthrough in his paper "On finite *p*-groups which have only two conjugacy lenghts", where he proved that a *p*-group with only two conjugacy class sizes has nilpotency class at most 3 and furthermore he shows in his paper "Finite *p*-groups up to isoclonism, which have only two conjugacy lengths" that a *p*-group has conjugacy class sizes 1, *p* if and only if the group is isoclinic to an extra-special group.
- ▶ The study of group elements that vanish on an irreducible character also goes back to 1903 when Burnside showed that

Theorem

if χ is an irreducible ordinary non-linear character of G then χ has a zero on G, that is an $x \in G$ with $\chi(x) = 0$

- ▶ Ishikawa had a major breakthrough in his paper "On finite *p*-groups which have only two conjugacy lenghts", where he proved that a *p*-group with only two conjugacy class sizes has nilpotency class at most 3 and furthermore he shows in his paper "Finite *p*-groups up to isoclonism, which have only two conjugacy lengths" that a *p*-group has conjugacy class sizes 1, *p* if and only if the group is isoclinic to an extra-special group.
- ▶ The study of group elements that vanish on an irreducible character also goes back to 1903 when Burnside showed that

Theorem

if χ is an irreducible ordinary non-linear character of G then χ has a zero on G, that is an $x \in G$ with $\chi(x) = 0$

Notation

With this in mind we say that an element $g \in G$ is a vanishing element if there exists an irreducible character χ such that $\chi(g) = 0$ and we denote by vcs(G) the set of conjugacy class sizes of the so-called vanishing elements of G. This is of course a set of positive integers related to the finite group G. Recently there has been more concentrated study on the vanishing elements and classes in a group. This began with the work of Isaacs, Navarro and Wolf "Finite group elements where no irreducible character vanishes" where they proved

Theorem

If G is a finite soluble group and P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G, F(G)the Fitting subgroup of G then every element outside of PF(G) is a vanishing element. Besides if G is nilpotent every element outside Z(G)is vanishing. Now for the coming developments it is necessary to remind some notation of graph theory. Let \mathcal{G} be a graph with $V(\mathcal{G})$ set of vertices and $E(\mathcal{G})$ set of edges. We will denote with $n(\mathcal{G})$ the number of connected components of \mathcal{G} and if x and y are vertices in the same component we define a *distance* d(x, y)between x and y being the number of edges in a path between x and ywith the fewer number of edges. The *diameter* of \mathcal{G} is the maximum distance between them. In fact to carry out a deeper analysis of the "arithmetical structure" of vcs(G), we attach a particular graph to this set.

Let X be a finite nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} :

• The prime graph $\Delta(X)$ is defined as follows:

 $\operatorname{Vert}(\Delta(X)) = \{ p \text{ prime } : \exists x \in X \text{ with } p | x \},\$

and two vertices p, q are adjacent if there exists $x \in X$ with pq|x. Now let us suppose X = vcs(G) and study

$\Delta(vcs(G))$

Dolfi ans Sanus proved the following

Theorem

If a prime number p is not a vertex of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ then G has a normal p-complement and abelian Sylow p-subgroups. We also observe that the vertex set of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ can be smaller than that of $\Delta(cs(G))$. Yet if one assume that G has a non abelian minimal normal subgroup, then the two vertex sets coincide and in this situation the absence of an edge in the graph $\Delta(vcs(G))$ reflects in the normal structure of G. The study of vanishing conjugacy class sizes in nonsoluble groups was developed in the following

Theorem

(BBCP) Let G be a finite group and suppose that G has a non abelian minimal normal subgroup. If p and q are vertices of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ but there is no vanishing conjugacy class of G whose size is divisible by pq, then G is $\{p,q\}$ -soluble.

We note that the hypothesis about the existence of a nonabelian minimal normal subgroup in G is critical in the above statement.

The study of vanishing conjugacy class sizes in nonsoluble groups was developed in the following

Theorem

(BBCP) Let G be a finite group and suppose that G has a non abelian minimal normal subgroup. If p and q are vertices of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ but there is no vanishing conjugacy class of G whose size is divisible by pq, then G is $\{p,q\}$ -soluble.

We note that the hypothesis about the existence of a nonabelian minimal normal subgroup in G is critical in the above statement.



A consequence of the previous theorem is that (under the same assumptions) if a vertex p of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is not complete, then the group G is p-soluble.

Moreover, if the group G has no abelian normal subgroups, then the graph $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is complete.

Theorem (BBCP) Let G be a finite group with trivial Fitting subgroup. Then every prime divisors of |G| is a vertex of $\Delta(vcs(G))$, and $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is a complete graph

$\Delta(vcs(G))$

A consequence of the previous theorem is that (under the same assumptions) if a vertex p of $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is not complete, then the group G is p-soluble.

Moreover, if the group G has no abelian normal subgroups, then the graph $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is complete.

Theorem

(BBCP) Let G be a finite group with trivial Fitting subgroup. Then every prime divisors of |G| is a vertex of $\Delta(vcs(G))$, and $\Delta(vcs(G))$ is a complete graph Following the lead of Ishikawa together with Lewis and Pacifici we classify those groups where the only vanishing class size is p, obtaining the following result:

Theorem

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. The vanishing classes of G all have size p if and only if one of the following occurs:

- 1. $G = P \times H$ where P is a p-group with $cs(P) = \{1, p\}$ and H is an abelian p'-group.
- 2. G/Z(G) is a Frobenius group whose Frobenius kernel has order p.