

# Gaps in probabilities of satisfying some commutator identities

Primož Moravec

Joint work with

Costantino Delizia (University of Salerno, Italy),  
Urban Jezernik (University of the Basque country, Spain),  
Chiara Nicotera (University of Salerno, Italy)

University of Ljubljana

SandGAL, Cremona, 2019

## Commuting probability

Let  $S$  be a finite set with operation  $\circ$ . Then

$$\text{cp}(S) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in S^2 \mid x \circ y = y \circ x\}|}{|S|^2}$$

is the probability that a randomly chosen pair of elements in  $S$  commutes under  $\circ$ .

## Commuting probability

Let  $S$  be a finite set with operation  $\circ$ . Then

$$\text{cp}(S) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in S^2 \mid x \circ y = y \circ x\}|}{|S|^2}$$

is the probability that a randomly chosen pair of elements in  $S$  commutes under  $\circ$ .

### Question

*If  $(S, \circ)$  is non-commutative, how large can  $\text{cp}(S)$  be?*

# Commuting probability in semigroups and quasigroups

Semigroups are not exciting;  $\text{cp}(S)$  can get arbitrarily close to 1.

## Example (MacHale, 1990)

Let  $n \geq 4$ ,  $T_n = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , and define

$$a_i \circ a_j = \begin{cases} a_2 & : i = j + 1 \\ a_1 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Then  $T_n$  is a semigroup with

$$\text{cp}(T_n) = 1 - 2/n^2.$$

# Commuting probability in semigroups and quasigroups

Semigroups are not exciting;  $\text{cp}(S)$  can get arbitrarily close to 1.

## Example (MacHale, 1990)

Let  $n \geq 4$ ,  $T_n = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , and define

$$a_i \circ a_j = \begin{cases} a_2 & : i = j + 1 \\ a_1 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Then  $T_n$  is a semigroup with

$$\text{cp}(T_n) = 1 - 2/n^2.$$

if  $S$  is a non-commutative quasigroup, then  $\text{cp}(S)$  can get arbitrarily close to 1 (S. M. Buckley, preprint).

# Commuting probability in groups

Erdős and Turan's description of  $\text{cp}(G)$

Proposition (Hirsch, 1950; Erdős, Turan, 1968)

*Let  $k(G)$  be the number of conjugacy classes in  $G$ . Then*

$$\text{cp}(G) = k(G)/|G|.$$

# Commuting probability in groups

Erdős and Turan's description of  $\text{cp}(G)$

Proposition (Hirsch, 1950; Erdős, Turan, 1968)

Let  $k(G)$  be the number of conjugacy classes in  $G$ . Then

$$\text{cp}(G) = k(G)/|G|.$$

Proof.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be the representatives of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cp}(G) &= (1/|G|^2) \sum_{x \in G} |C_G(x)| \\ &= (1/|G|^2) \sum_{i=1}^k |C_G(x_i)| \cdot |G : C_G(x_i)| \\ &= k(G)/|G|.\end{aligned}$$



# Commuting probability in groups

Probability gap

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

*Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group. Then  $\text{cp}(G) \leq 5/8$ .*



# Commuting probability in groups

## Probability gap

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group. Then  $\text{cp}(G) \leq 5/8$ .

Proof.

Class equation:

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k(G)-|Z(G)|} |G : C_G(x_i)| \\ &\geq |Z(G)| + 2(k(G) - |Z(G)|). \end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $|G|$  and note that  $|G : Z(G)| \geq 4$ . We get the bound.  $\square$

# Commuting probability in groups

## Probability gap

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group. Then  $\text{cp}(G) \leq 5/8$ .

Proof.

Class equation:

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k(G)-|Z(G)|} |G : C_G(x_i)| \\ &\geq |Z(G)| + 2(k(G) - |Z(G)|). \end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $|G|$  and note that  $|G : Z(G)| \geq 4$ . We get the bound.  $\square$

There are a lot of further results on the commuting probability of groups, see e.g. [Guralnick, Robinson \(2006\)](#).

## Word maps

Let  $F_d$  be a free group on  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ . Let  $w = w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  be a word in  $F_d$ .

## Word maps

Let  $F_d$  be a free group on  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ . Let  $w = w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  be a word in  $F_d$ .

If  $G$  is a group, then the map

$$\begin{aligned} w : G^d &\rightarrow G \\ (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) &\mapsto w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) \end{aligned}$$

is the **word map** associated to the word  $w$ .

## Word maps

Let  $F_d$  be a free group on  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ . Let  $w = w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  be a word in  $F_d$ .

If  $G$  is a group, then the map

$$\begin{aligned} w : G^d &\rightarrow G \\ (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) &\mapsto w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) \end{aligned}$$

is the **word map** associated to the word  $w$ .

### Definition

Let  $w = w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  be a word in a free group. A group  $G$  is said to **satisfy the identity**  $w \equiv 1$  if

$$w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) = 1$$

for all  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d \in G$ .

# Word probabilities

## Generalization of the commuting probability

Let  $w$  be a word in a free group of rank  $d$ , and  $G$  a finite group. Fix  $g \in G$ . Then

$$P_{w=g}(G) = \frac{|w^{-1}(g)|}{|G|^d}$$

is the probability that a randomly chosen  $d$ -tuple of elements of  $G$  evaluates to  $g$  under the word map  $w$ .

# Word probabilities

## Generalization of the commuting probability

Let  $w$  be a word in a free group of rank  $d$ , and  $G$  a finite group. Fix  $g \in G$ . Then

$$P_{w=g}(G) = \frac{|w^{-1}(g)|}{|G|^d}$$

is the probability that a randomly chosen  $d$ -tuple of elements of  $G$  evaluates to  $g$  under the word map  $w$ .

Usually we consider the case  $g = 1$ .

**Notation:**  $P_w(G) = P_{w=1}(G)$ .

# Word probabilities

## Generalization of the commuting probability

Let  $w$  be a word in a free group of rank  $d$ , and  $G$  a finite group. Fix  $g \in G$ . Then

$$P_{w=g}(G) = \frac{|w^{-1}(g)|}{|G|^d}$$

is the probability that a randomly chosen  $d$ -tuple of elements of  $G$  evaluates to  $g$  under the word map  $w$ .

Usually we consider the case  $g = 1$ .

**Notation:**  $P_w(G) = P_{w=1}(G)$ .

### Example

$$\text{cp}(G) = P_{[x,y]}(G).$$



## Two open problems

Question (Probability Gap Problem; Dixon, 2004)

*Let  $w$  be a word. Does there exist  $\eta = \eta(w) < 1$  such that every finite group  $G$  either satisfies  $w \equiv 1$  or  $P_w(G) \leq \eta$ ?*

## Two open problems

Question (Probability Gap Problem; Dixon, 2004)

*Let  $w$  be a word. Does there exist  $\eta = \eta(w) < 1$  such that every finite group  $G$  either satisfies  $w \equiv 1$  or  $P_w(G) \leq \eta$ ?*

Question (Positive Probability Problem; Shalev, 2016)

*Let  $w$  be a word and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Does there exist a word  $v = v(w, \epsilon)$  such that every finite group  $G$  with  $P_w(G) > \epsilon$  satisfies  $v \equiv 1$ ?*

## Example: Commuting probability

Positive Probability problem for commuting probability

Theorem (P. M. Neumann, 1989)

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $G$  be a finite group with  $\text{cp}(G) > \epsilon$ . Then there exist subgroups  $N \triangleleft H \triangleleft G$  such that*

- *$H/N$  is abelian,*
- *both  $|N|$  and  $|G : H|$  are bounded by some function of  $\epsilon$ .*

*We also say that  $G$  is **( $\epsilon$ -bounded)-by-abelian-by-( $\epsilon$ -bounded)**.*

## Example: Commuting probability

Positive Probability problem for commuting probability

Theorem (P. M. Neumann, 1989)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $G$  be a finite group with  $\text{cp}(G) > \epsilon$ . Then there exist subgroups  $N \triangleleft H \triangleleft G$  such that

- $H/N$  is abelian,
- both  $|N|$  and  $|G : H|$  are bounded by some function of  $\epsilon$ .

We also say that  $G$  is **( $\epsilon$ -bounded)-by-abelian-by-( $\epsilon$ -bounded)**.

Under the above conditions, there exist integers  $m = m(\epsilon)$  and  $n = n(\epsilon)$  such that  $G$  satisfies the identity

$$[x^m, y^m]^n \equiv 1.$$

# Power words

Known results on the Probability Gap Problem and Positive Probability Problem

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

- If  $P_x(G) > 1/2$ , then  $G$  is trivial.

# Power words

Known results on the Probability Gap Problem and Positive Probability Problem

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

- If  $P_x(G) > 1/2$ , then  $G$  is trivial.
- If  $P_{x^2}(G) > 3/4$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^2 \equiv 1$  (Miller, 1920).

# Power words

## Known results on the Probability Gap Problem and Positive Probability Problem

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

- If  $P_x(G) > 1/2$ , then  $G$  is trivial.
- If  $P_{x^2}(G) > 3/4$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^2 \equiv 1$  (Miller, 1920).
- If  $P_{x^3}(G) > 7/9$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^3 \equiv 1$  (Laffey, 1976).

# Power words

## Known results on the Probability Gap Problem and Positive Probability Problem

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

- If  $P_x(G) > 1/2$ , then  $G$  is trivial.
- If  $P_{x^2}(G) > 3/4$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^2 \equiv 1$  (Miller, 1920).
- If  $P_{x^3}(G) > 7/9$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^3 \equiv 1$  (Laffey, 1976).
- For each  $k$  and  $d$ , there exists a number  $0 < p(d, k) < 1$ , such that if  $d(G) \leq d$  and  $P_{x^k}(G) > p(d, k)$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^k \equiv 1$  (Mann and Martinez, 1996).



# Power words

## Known results on the Probability Gap Problem and Positive Probability Problem

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

- If  $P_x(G) > 1/2$ , then  $G$  is trivial.
- If  $P_{x^2}(G) > 3/4$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^2 \equiv 1$  (Miller, 1920).
- If  $P_{x^3}(G) > 7/9$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^3 \equiv 1$  (Laffey, 1976).
- For each  $k$  and  $d$ , there exists a number  $0 < p(d, k) < 1$ , such that if  $d(G) \leq d$  and  $P_{x^k}(G) > p(d, k)$ , then  $G$  satisfies the law  $x^k \equiv 1$  (Mann and Martinez, 1996).
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $P_{x^2=a}(G) > \epsilon$  for some  $a \in G$ . Then  $G$  is  $(\epsilon$ -bounded)-by-abelian-by- $(\epsilon$ -bounded). (Mann, 2018).

## Two particular words

- Metabelian word:  $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ .

## Two particular words

- Metabelian word:  $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ .
- 2-Engel word:  $w(x, y) = [[x, y], y]$ .

## Two particular words

- Metabelian word:  $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ .
- 2-Engel word:  $w(x, y) = [[x, y], y]$ .

## Two particular words

- Metabelian word:  $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ .
- 2-Engel word:  $w(x, y) = [[x, y], y]$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $w$  be either the 2-Engel or the metabelian word. There exists a constant  $\delta < 1$  such that whenever  $w$  is not an identity in a finite group  $G$ , we have  $P_w(G) \leq \delta$ .*

## Two particular words

- Metabelian word:  $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ .
- 2-Engel word:  $w(x, y) = [[x, y], y]$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $w$  be either the 2-Engel or the metabelian word. There exists a constant  $\delta < 1$  such that whenever  $w$  is not an identity in a finite group  $G$ , we have  $P_w(G) \leq \delta$ .*

The proof strategy outlined here can be (in principle) applied to other words as well.

# A general approach

Projection on the first coordinate; mimic the abelian case.

We may write

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{g_2, \dots, g_d \in G} |\{g_1 \in G \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1\}|.$$

Denote

$$C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d) = \{g_1 \in G \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1\}.$$

## A general approach

Projection on the first coordinate; mimic the abelian case.

We may write

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{g_2, \dots, g_d \in G} |\{g_1 \in G \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1\}|.$$

Denote

$$C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d) = \{g_1 \in G \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1\}.$$

**Caution:**  $C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d)$  is rarely a subgroup in  $G$ .



# A general approach

The GOOD and the BAD.

Suppose we define a set  $\text{BAD} \subseteq G^{d-1}$  with the property that there exist absolute constants  $0 < \delta_{\text{GOOD}}, \delta_{\text{BAD}} < 1$ , depending only on  $w$  and not on  $G$ , such that:

- 1  $\forall (g_2, \dots, g_d) \in \text{GOOD}. |C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \delta_{\text{GOOD}} \cdot |G|$
- 2  $|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{\text{BAD}} \cdot |G|^{d-1},$

where  $\text{GOOD} = G^{d-1} \setminus \text{BAD}.$

# A general approach

The GOOD and the BAD.

Suppose we define a set  $\text{BAD} \subseteq G^{d-1}$  with the property that there exist absolute constants  $0 < \delta_{\text{GOOD}}, \delta_{\text{BAD}} < 1$ , depending only on  $w$  and not on  $G$ , such that:

- 1  $\forall (g_2, \dots, g_d) \in \text{GOOD}. |C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \delta_{\text{GOOD}} \cdot |G|$
- 2  $|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{\text{BAD}} \cdot |G|^{d-1}$ ,

where  $\text{GOOD} = G^{d-1} \setminus \text{BAD}$ .

Then, summing over BAD and GOOD separately, we quickly obtain

$$P_w(G) \leq \delta_{\text{GOOD}} + (1 - \delta_{\text{GOOD}})\delta_{\text{BAD}},$$

which gives an absolute upper bound on the word probability in  $G$ .

## Sample application: the long commutator

Consider the long commutator word,

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)] = [x_1, [x_2, [\dots, x_d]]].$$

## Sample application: the long commutator

Consider the long commutator word,

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)] = [x_1, [x_2, [\dots, x_d]]].$$

We know that in a non-abelian group  $G$ ,  $P_{\gamma_2}(G) \leq \frac{5}{8}$ .

## Sample application: the long commutator

Consider the long commutator word,

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)] = [x_1, [x_2, [\dots, x_d]]].$$

We know that in a non-abelian group  $G$ ,  $P_{\gamma_2}(G) \leq \frac{5}{8}$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a finite group not satisfying the law  $\gamma_d \equiv 1$ . Then*

$$P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}.$$

*The bound is sharp.*

## Proof of $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Induction on  $d$ . Let  $G$  be a group that does not satisfy the law

$\gamma_d \equiv 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BAD} &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = G\} \\ &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in Z(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Induction on  $d$ . Let  $G$  be a group that does not satisfy the law  $\gamma_d \equiv 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BAD} &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = G\} \\ &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in Z(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The size of the latter set is

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{BAD}| &= |\{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in (G/Z(G))^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = 1\}| \\ &\quad \cdot |Z(G)|^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Induction on  $d$ . Let  $G$  be a group that does not satisfy the law  $\gamma_d \equiv 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BAD} &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = G\} \\ &= \{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in Z(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The size of the latter set is

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{BAD}| &= |\{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in (G/Z(G))^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = 1\}| \\ &\quad \cdot |Z(G)|^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$G/Z(G)$  does not satisfy the law  $\gamma_{d-1} \equiv 1$ . By induction there is a constant  $\delta_{d-1}$  with

$$|\{(g_2, \dots, g_d) \in (G/Z(G))^{d-1} \mid \gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = 1\}| \leq \delta_{d-1} |G/Z(G)|^{d-1}.$$



Proof of  $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Thus

$$|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{d-1} |G|^{d-1},$$

so we can take  $\delta_{\text{BAD}} = \delta_{d-1}$ .

## Proof of $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Thus

$$|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{d-1} |G|^{d-1},$$

so we can take  $\delta_{\text{BAD}} = \delta_{d-1}$ .

If  $(g_2, \dots, g_d) \notin \text{BAD}$ , we have

$$C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = C_G(\gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d)),$$

which is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , and therefore

$$|C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |G|.$$

Therefore we can take  $\delta_{\text{GOOD}} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## Proof of $P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$

$$\gamma_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = [x_1, \gamma_{d-1}(x_2, \dots, x_d)]$$

Thus

$$|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{d-1} |G|^{d-1},$$

so we can take  $\delta_{\text{BAD}} = \delta_{d-1}$ .

If  $(g_2, \dots, g_d) \notin \text{BAD}$ , we have

$$C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d) = C_G(\gamma_{d-1}(g_2, \dots, g_d)),$$

which is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , and therefore

$$|C_{\gamma_d}(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |G|.$$

Therefore we can take  $\delta_{\text{GOOD}} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

This gives a bound for the probability,

$$P_{\gamma_d}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{d-1} =: \delta_d.$$

Since  $\delta_2 = \frac{5}{8}$ , we get  $\delta_d = 1 - \frac{3}{2^{d+1}}$ .

# Central extension of a word with gap

The same argument works more generally.

## Proposition

*Let  $w$  be a word in  $d$  variables, and suppose that there exists  $\eta = \eta(w) < 1$  such that whenever  $G$  is a finite group with  $P_w(G) > \eta$ , then  $G$  satisfies the identity  $w \equiv 1$ .*

# Central extension of a word with gap

The same argument works more generally.

## Proposition

*Let  $w$  be a word in  $d$  variables, and suppose that there exists  $\eta = \eta(w) < 1$  such that whenever  $G$  is a finite group with  $P_w(G) > \eta$ , then  $G$  satisfies the identity  $w \equiv 1$ .*

*Let*

$$\tilde{w}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d+1}) = [x_1, w(x_2, \dots, x_d)].$$

*Then every finite group  $G$  satisfies either  $\tilde{w} \equiv 1$  or*

$$P_{\tilde{w}}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(w).$$

The words  $w = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$  and  $w = [x, y, y]$

The naive approach works only in special cases.

For these two words, the approach using projection on a given coordinate works only under extra assumptions on the structure of  $G$  (will be elaborated later).

The words  $w = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$  and  $w = [x, y, y]$

The naive approach works only in special cases.

For these two words, the approach using projection on a given coordinate works only under extra assumptions on the structure of  $G$  (will be elaborated later).

Alternative strategy is to consider 'minimal counterexamples' and separate the non-solvable case from the solvable case.

The words  $w = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$  and  $w = [x, y, y]$

The naive approach works only in special cases.

For these two words, the approach using projection on a given coordinate works only under extra assumptions on the structure of  $G$  (will be elaborated later).

Alternative strategy is to consider 'minimal counterexamples' and separate the non-solvable case from the solvable case.

It turns out that the non-solvable case can be taken care of using results on word values in simple groups obtained by Bors, Larsen, and Shalev. The solvable case is more *ad hoc*.



# The chief series of a group

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group. A **chief series** of  $G$  is a chain of normal subgroups of  $G$

$$1 = N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \cdots \leq N_n = G,$$

such that each  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/N_i$ .

# The chief series of a group

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group. A **chief series** of  $G$  is a chain of normal subgroups of  $G$

$$1 = N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \cdots \leq N_n = G,$$

such that each  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/N_i$ .

Each **chief factor**  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is isomorphic to a direct product of isomorphic finite simple groups:

$$N_{i+1}/N_i \cong T^k,$$

where  $T$  is a finite simple group.

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Reduction

### Lemma

*Let  $w$  be a nontrivial word. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq P_{w=gN}(G/N)$  for every  $g \in G$ .*

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Reduction

### Lemma

*Let  $w$  be a nontrivial word. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq P_{w=gN}(G/N)$  for every  $g \in G$ .*

Consider a chief factor  $N_2/N_1 = T^k$  of  $G$ , where  $T$  is a simple group and  $k \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $T$  is **non-abelian**.

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Reduction

### Lemma

*Let  $w$  be a nontrivial word. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq P_{w=gN}(G/N)$  for every  $g \in G$ .*

Consider a chief factor  $N_2/N_1 = T^k$  of  $G$ , where  $T$  is a simple group and  $k \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $T$  is **non-abelian**.

In this case,  $T$  does not satisfy the identity  $w \equiv 1$  (at least in the 2-Engel or metabelian case).

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Reduction

### Lemma

*Let  $w$  be a nontrivial word. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq P_{w=gN}(G/N)$  for every  $g \in G$ .*

Consider a chief factor  $N_2/N_1 = T^k$  of  $G$ , where  $T$  is a simple group and  $k \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $T$  is **non-abelian**.

In this case,  $T$  does not satisfy the identity  $w \equiv 1$  (at least in the 2-Engel or metabelian case).

For the purposes of our claim, we can replace  $G$  by its quotient  $G/C_G(N_2/N_1)$  and therefore assume that

$$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k).$$

## First case: non-abelian composition factor

$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$ . When  $T$  is large, all is OK

### Theorem (Larsen, Shalev, 2017)

*Let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$  for some  $k \geq 1$  and a finite nonabelian simple group  $T$ . Suppose  $w$  is a nontrivial word. Then there exist constants  $C = C(w)$ ,  $\epsilon = \epsilon(w) > 0$  depending only on  $w$  such that, if  $|T| \geq C$ , then for any  $g \in G$  we have  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq |T^k|^{-\epsilon}$ .*

## First case: non-abelian composition factor

$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$ . When  $T$  is large, all is OK

### Theorem (Larsen, Shalev, 2017)

*Let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$  for some  $k \geq 1$  and a finite nonabelian simple group  $T$ . Suppose  $w$  is a nontrivial word. Then there exist constants  $C = C(w)$ ,  $\epsilon = \epsilon(w) > 0$  depending only on  $w$  such that, if  $|T| \geq C$ , then for any  $g \in G$  we have  $P_{w=g}(G) \leq |T^k|^{-\epsilon}$ .*

As long as  $|T| > C$ , we therefore have  $P_w(G) < C^{-\epsilon}$ .



## First case: non-abelian composition factor

$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$ . When  $T$  is small, its multiplicity  $k$  may be large

We have

$$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut } T^k.$$

If  $|T|$  and  $k$  are bounded, then the order of  $|G|$  is bounded and thus the probability  $P_w(G)$  is bounded.

## First case: non-abelian composition factor

$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T^k)$ . When  $T$  is small, its multiplicity  $k$  may be large

We have

$$T^k \leq G \leq \text{Aut } T^k.$$

If  $|T|$  and  $k$  are bounded, then the order of  $|G|$  is bounded and thus the probability  $P_w(G)$  is bounded.

**Potential problem:**  $k$  may not be bounded when  $T$  is small.

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Multiplicity bounding words

### Definition (Bors, 2017)

A reduced word  $w$  is called **multiplicity bounding** if, whenever  $G$  is a finite group such that  $P_{w=g}(G) > \rho$  for some  $g \in G$ , the multiplicity of a non-abelian simple group  $T$  as a composition factor of  $G$  can be bounded above by a function of only  $\rho$  and  $T$ .

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Multiplicity bounding words

### Definition (Bors, 2017)

A reduced word  $w$  is called **multiplicity bounding** if, whenever  $G$  is a finite group such that  $P_{w=g}(G) > \rho$  for some  $g \in G$ , the multiplicity of a non-abelian simple group  $T$  as a composition factor of  $G$  can be bounded above by a function of only  $\rho$  and  $T$ .

### Proposition

*Let  $w \in F_d$  be a multiplicity bounding word. Then there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(w) < 1$  such that every nonsolvable finite group  $G$  satisfies  $P_w(G) \leq \delta$ .*

# First case: non-abelian composition factor

## Multiplicity bounding words

### Definition (Bors, 2017)

A reduced word  $w$  is called **multiplicity bounding** if, whenever  $G$  is a finite group such that  $P_{w=g}(G) > \rho$  for some  $g \in G$ , the multiplicity of a non-abelian simple group  $T$  as a composition factor of  $G$  can be bounded above by a function of only  $\rho$  and  $T$ .

### Proposition

*Let  $w \in F_d$  be a multiplicity bounding word. Then there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(w) < 1$  such that every nonsolvable finite group  $G$  satisfies  $P_w(G) \leq \delta$ .*

### Theorem

*Both the metabelian and 2-Engel word are multiplicity bounding.*

## Second case: solvable groups

Verbal subgroup

If all the chief factors of  $G$  are abelian, then  $G$  is solvable.

## Second case: solvable groups

### Verbal subgroup

If all the chief factors of  $G$  are abelian, then  $G$  is solvable.

The **verbal subgroup**:  $V = \langle w(G^d) \rangle$ .

## Second case: solvable groups

### Verbal subgroup

If all the chief factors of  $G$  are abelian, then  $G$  is solvable.

The **verbal subgroup**:  $V = \langle w(G^d) \rangle$ .

We may assume that all proper quotients of  $G$  satisfy  $w \equiv 1$ , therefore  $V$  can be assumed to be the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , and so

$$V = \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$



# The metabelian and 2-Engel words

The projection to the first coordinate works sometimes.

We need a set  $\text{BAD} \subseteq G^{d-1}$  and constants  $0 < \delta_{\text{GOOD}}, \delta_{\text{BAD}} < 1$ , depending only on  $w$  and not on  $G$ , such that:

- 1  $\forall (g_2, \dots, g_d) \in \text{GOOD}. |C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \delta_{\text{GOOD}} \cdot |G|$
- 2  $|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{\text{BAD}} \cdot |G|^{d-1}$ ,

where  $\text{GOOD} = G^{d-1} \setminus \text{BAD}$ .

# The metabelian and 2-Engel words

The projection to the first coordinate works sometimes.

We need a set  $\text{BAD} \subseteq G^{d-1}$  and constants  $0 < \delta_{\text{GOOD}}, \delta_{\text{BAD}} < 1$ , depending only on  $w$  and not on  $G$ , such that:

- 1  $\forall (g_2, \dots, g_d) \in \text{GOOD}. |C_w(g_2, \dots, g_d)| \leq \delta_{\text{GOOD}} \cdot |G|$
- 2  $|\text{BAD}| \leq \delta_{\text{BAD}} \cdot |G|^{d-1}$ ,

where  $\text{GOOD} = G^{d-1} \setminus \text{BAD}$ .

In our case, this can be done in the following cases:

- $w = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ :  $G'$  acts trivially on  $V$ .
- $w = [x, y, y]$ :  $G$  acts trivially on  $V$ .

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

General principle: GOOD and BAD representatives

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of coset representatives for  $V$  in  $G$ . Then

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1}.$$

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

General principle: GOOD and BAD representatives

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of coset representatives for  $V$  in  $G$ . Then

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1}.$$

Each summand can be expanded as

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d)$$

for some endomorphisms  $w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{End}(V)$ .

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

General principle: GOOD and BAD representatives

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of coset representatives for  $V$  in  $G$ . Then

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1}.$$

Each summand can be expanded as

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d)$$

for some endomorphisms  $w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{End}(V)$ .

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BAD} &= \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \forall i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 0_{\text{End}(V)}\}, \\ \text{GOOD} &= \mathcal{R}^d - \text{BAD}. \end{aligned}$$

# Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the bad representatives

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1},$$

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{BAD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \forall i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 0_{\text{End}(V)}\}.$$

# Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the bad representatives

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1},$$

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{BAD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \forall i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 0_{\text{End}(V)}\}.$$

BAD consists of those tuples of elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  for which a summand above is independent of the values  $a_i \in V$ .

# Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the bad representatives

$$P_w(G) = \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{a_i \in V, r_i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1},$$

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{BAD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \forall i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 0_{\text{End}(V)}\}.$$

BAD consists of those tuples of elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  for which a summand above is independent of the values  $a_i \in V$ .

By first summing over the bad representatives, we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{BAD}} |V|^d \cdot \mathbb{1}_{w(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 1} \leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d}.$$



## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the good representatives

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{GOOD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \exists i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) \neq 0_{\text{End}(V)}\},$$

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the good representatives

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{GOOD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \exists i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) \neq 0_{\text{End}(V)}\},$$

If  $(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{GOOD}$ , then there is  $j$  such that

$$|C_V(w_j(r_1, \dots, r_d))| \leq |V|/p.$$

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Summation over the good representatives

$$w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d a_i^{w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d)} \cdot w(r_1, \dots, r_d),$$

$$\text{GOOD} = \{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \exists i. w_i(r_1, \dots, r_d) \neq 0_{\text{End}(V)}\},$$

If  $(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{GOOD}$ , then there is  $j$  such that

$$|C_V(w_j(r_1, \dots, r_d))| \leq |V|/p.$$

Summing over the good representatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{GOOD}} \sum_{a_i \in V} \mathbb{1}_{w(a_1 r_1, \dots, a_d r_d) = 1} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^d} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \text{GOOD}} |V|^{d-1} (|V|/p) \\ &= \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p |\mathcal{R}|^d} \end{aligned}$$

# Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Putting BAD and GOOD together

We can collect the two upper bounds to finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P_w(G) &\leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \\&= \frac{1}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \\&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d}\right).\end{aligned}$$

# Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Putting BAD and GOOD together

We can collect the two upper bounds to finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_w(G) &\leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to get a gap on word probability, we need to show that for a given word  $w$ , there is a gap on the relative size of the set BAD inside  $\mathcal{R}^d$ .

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Metabelian and 2-Engel words. Breaking BAD: the GOOD, the BAD and the UGLY

$$P_w(G) \leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \right).$$

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Metabelian and 2-Engel words. Breaking BAD: the GOOD, the BAD and the UGLY

$$P_w(G) \leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \right).$$

The 2-Engel case is relatively straightforward; one can show that

$$\frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Metabelian and 2-Engel words. Breaking BAD: the GOOD, the BAD and the UGLY

$$P_w(G) \leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \right).$$

The 2-Engel case is relatively straightforward; one can show that

$$\frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In the metabelian case we need to assume  $[G, G]$  acts non-trivially on  $V$ , i.e.,  $G' \not\subseteq C_G(V)$ . Define

$$\text{UGLY} = \{(z, t) \in (G/V)^2 \mid [z, t] \in C_G(V)\}.$$



## Solvable groups: Non-trivial action

Metabelian and 2-Engel words. Breaking BAD: the GOOD, the BAD and the UGLY

$$P_w(G) \leq \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} + \frac{|\text{GOOD}|}{p|\mathcal{R}|^d} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^d} \right).$$

The 2-Engel case is relatively straightforward; one can show that

$$\frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In the metabelian case we need to assume  $[G, G]$  acts non-trivially on  $V$ , i.e.,  $G' \not\subseteq C_G(V)$ . Define

$$\text{UGLY} = \{(z, t) \in (G/V)^2 \mid [z, t] \in C_G(V)\}.$$

Then we can show that

$$\frac{|\text{BAD}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^4} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\text{UGLY}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\text{UGLY}|}{|\mathcal{R}|^2} \leq \frac{5}{8}.$$