On centralizers of locally finite simple groups



Mattia Brescia

Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli

Semigroups and Groups, Automata, Logics

Cremona – 12 June 2019







Richard Brauer





Theorem

R. Brauer, K.A. Fowler - 1955

Let G be a finite group of even order n. Then G contains a proper subgroup of order strictly larger than $\sqrt[3]{n}$.





Corollary

R. Brauer, K.A. Fowler - 1955

There exist only a finite number of simple groups in which the centralizer of an involution is isomorphic to a given group.





Kargapolov, Hall-Kulatilaka (1963–1964) - Let G be an infinite locally finite group. Then G contains a non-trivial element whose centralizer is infinite.





Kargapolov, Hall-Kulatilaka (1963–1964) - Let G be an infinite locally finite group. Then G contains a non-trivial element whose centralizer is infinite.

Šunkov (1965) - Let G be an infinite simple locally finite group. Then every involution of G has infinite centralizer.





Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?





Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?

or



Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?

or

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite group. What can be said about G if the centralizer of an \mathfrak{X} -subgroup is "small"?



Hartley–Kuzucuoğlu (1991) - Let G be an infinite simple locally finite group. Then every non-trivial element of G has infinite centralizer.



Hartley–Kuzucuoğlu (1991) - Let G be an infinite simple locally finite group. Then every non-trivial element of G has infinite centralizer.

Hartley (1992) - Let G be a locally finite group. If G has an element with finite centralizer, then G is (locally soluble)-by-finite.



Hartley–Kuzucuoğlu (1991) - Let G be an infinite simple locally finite group. Then every non-trivial element of G has infinite centralizer.

Hartley (1992) - Let G be a locally finite group. If G has an element with finite centralizer, then G is (locally soluble)-by-finite.

Meierfrankenfeld (2007) - There exists a non-linear locally finite simple groups in which every involution has (locally soluble)-by-finite centralizer.





And many results on locally finite groups in which the centralizers of involutions satisfy some finiteness conditions





Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?





Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?





Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?

Let G be a locally finite simple group. Has the centralizer of every finite p-subgroup infinite non-abelian rank?



Let G be any group. We will say that G has *finite non-abelian rank* if there exists a non-negative integer n such that G admits no subgroups which are direct product of more than n factors provided that one of them is a non-abelian subgroup of G.



Let G be any group. We will say that G has *finite non-abelian rank* if there exists a non-negative integer n such that G admits no subgroups which are direct product of more than n factors provided that one of them is a non-abelian subgroup of G. The least integer n with this property is called the non-abelian rank of G. Clearly, if such an integer n does not exist, we say that G has *infinite non-abelian rank*.



Let G be any group. We will say that G has *finite non-abelian rank* if there exists a non-negative integer n such that G admits no subgroups which are direct product of more than n factors provided that one of them is a non-abelian subgroup of G. The least integer n with this property is called the non-abelian rank of G. Clearly, if such an integer n does not exist, we say that G has *infinite non-abelian rank*.

 $H_0 \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n \times \cdots$ where H_0 is non-abelian.



Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups and let G be a locally finite simple group. Is the centralizer of every \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of G "big"?

Let G be a locally finite simple group. Has the centralizer of every finite p-subgroup finite non-abelian rank?





Theorem

A. Russo, M. B. – 2019

Let G be any infinite simple locally finite group. Then either G is isomorphic to PSL(2, F), where F is an infinite locally finite field, or G contains a subgroup which is the direct product of an infinite abelian subgroup of prime exponent p and a finite non-abelian p-subgroup.





Theorem

A. Russo, M. B. – 2019

Let G be any infinite simple locally finite group. Then either G is isomorphic to PSL(2, F), where F is an infinite locally finite field, or G contains a subgroup which is the direct product of an infinite abelian subgroup of prime exponent p and a finite non-abelian p-subgroup.

In particular, any infinite simple locally finite group (with the exclusion of PSL(2, F), which has non-abelian rank at most 2) has infinite non-abelian rank and contains a finite non-abelian subgroup with an infinite centralizer.









For any couple of elements α and β in F one defines

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^{1+\theta} + \beta & \alpha^{\theta} & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha^{2+\theta} + \alpha\beta + \beta^{\theta} & \beta & \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$





For any couple of elements α and β in F one defines

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^{1+\theta} + \beta & \alpha^{\theta} & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha^{2+\theta} + \alpha\beta + \beta^{\theta} & \beta & \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that $(\alpha, \beta)(\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha + \gamma, \alpha \gamma^{\theta} + \beta + \delta).$





• $A = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha, \beta \in F\};$





• A = {(
$$\alpha$$
, β) | α , $\beta \in F$ };
• D = {diag(f^{1+\theta^{-1}}, f^{\theta^{-1}}, f^{-\theta^{-1}}, f^{-1-\theta^{-1}})|f \in F};





•
$$A = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha, \beta \in F\};$$

• $D = \{ diag(f^{1+\theta^{-1}}, f^{\theta^{-1}}, f^{-\theta^{-1}}, f^{-1-\theta^{-1}}) | f \in F\};$
• $(0, 0, 0, 1)$

$$\tau = \left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$



•
$$A = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha, \beta \in F\};$$

• $D = \{ diag(f^{1+\theta^{-1}}, f^{\theta^{-1}}, f^{-\theta^{-1}}, f^{-1-\theta^{-1}}) | f \in F\};$
• $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\tau = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

 $\Rightarrow Sz(F) = \langle A, D, \tau \rangle.$





It is easy to see that A is a nilpotent non-abelian 2-subgroup of the group and that the subgroup H of A generated by all $(0, \beta)$ is the centre of A and is isomorphic with the additive group of F.





$$(0,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \beta^{\theta} & \beta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remind that $(\alpha,\beta)(\gamma,\delta) = (\alpha + \gamma, \alpha\gamma^{\theta} + \beta + \delta).$





It is easy to see that A is a nilpotent non-abelian 2-subgroup of the group and that the subgroup H of A generated by all $(0, \beta)$ is the centre of A and is isomorphic with the additive group of F. Hence, once taken two elements α and β in F \ {0} such that $\alpha\beta^{\theta} \neq \alpha^{\theta}\beta$, we have that $\langle (\alpha, 0), (\beta, 0) \rangle$ H is decomposable into an infinite direct product of the requested type.





Theorem

Let F be an infinite locally finite field of characteristic p. Then a finite subgroup of PSL(2, F) can be only of the following types:



Theorem

Let F be an infinite locally finite field of characteristic p. Then a finite subgroup of PSL(2, F) can be only of the following types:

• an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;



Theorem

Let F be an infinite locally finite field of characteristic p. Then a finite subgroup of PSL(2, F) can be only of the following types:

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;



Theorem

Let F be an infinite locally finite field of characteristic p. Then a finite subgroup of PSL(2, F) can be only of the following types:

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;
- a dihedral group;



Theorem

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;
- a dihedral group;
- the symmetric group on 4 elements;



Theorem

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;
- a dihedral group;
- the symmetric group on 4 elements;
- Ithe alternating group on 5 elements;



Theorem

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;
- a dihedral group;
- the symmetric group on 4 elements;
- the alternating group on 5 elements;
- a group of type H ⋉ K, where K is elementary abelian and H is cyclic acting fixed-point-freely on K;



Theorem

- an elementary abelian p-group of any finite order;
- a cyclic group;
- a dihedral group;
- the symmetric group on 4 elements;
- the alternating group on 5 elements;
- a group of type H × K, where K is elementary abelian and H is cyclic acting fixed-point-freely on K;
- PSL(2, F_{pⁿ}) for any positive integer n dividing the Steinitz number of F.





Corollary

Let F be an infinite locally finite field. Then PSL(2, F) has non-abelian rank 2.





Main theorem rephrased

Let G be an infinite simple locally finite group. Then G is isomorphic to PSL(2, F) if and only if G has finite non-abelian rank. In this case, the rank of G is 2.











O.Yu. Dashkova (1997) - Let G be a locally finite group of finite non-abelian rank. Then G cannot be simple.





O.Yu. Dashkova (1997) - Let G be a locally finite group of finite non-abelian rank. Then G cannot be simple.

O.Yu. Dashkova (1999) - Let G be a locally finite group of finite non-abelian section rank. Then G cannot be simple.





O.Yu. Dashkova (1997) - Let G be a locally finite group of finite non-abelian rank. Then G cannot be simple.

O.Yu. Dashkova (1999) - Let G be a locally finite group of finite non-abelian section rank. Then G cannot be simple.

M.B., Russo (2019) - Let G be a locally finite group satisfying the double chain condition on non-abelian subgroups. Then G cannot be simple.



Further generalizations



Do all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an abelian subgroup of infinite rank and a finite non-nilpotent subgroup?



- Do all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an abelian subgroup of infinite rank and a finite non-nilpotent subgroup?
- O all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an infinite abelian subgroup of prime exponent p and a finite non-nilpotent subgroup?



- Do all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an abelian subgroup of infinite rank and a finite non-nilpotent subgroup?
- O all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an infinite abelian subgroup of prime exponent p and a finite non-nilpotent subgroup?
- Do all locally finite simple groups (except for PSL(2, F)) contain a subgroup which is the direct product of an infinite abelian subgroup of infinite rank and a finite simple subgroup?





Thank you for your attention