Isoclinism and classification of finite p-groups

Carlo M Scoppola

Università degli Studi dell'Aquila

Cremona, 11 giugno 2019 SandGAL - Semigroups and Groups, Automata, Logics AUGURI SANDRA!

P. Hall, *The classification of prime-power groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1940.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

► (Hall) "Commutator function" of G: $[-,-]_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \rightarrow G'.$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- ► (Hall) "Commutator function" of G: $[-,-]_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \rightarrow G'.$
- (Hall) "Roughly, the definition amounts to this, that two groups (G and H) are *isoclinic* if and only if their commutator functions are essentially the same".

- ► (Hall) "Commutator function" of G: $[-,-]_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \rightarrow G'.$
- (Hall) "Roughly, the definition amounts to this, that two groups (G and H) are *isoclinic* if and only if their commutator functions are essentially the same".

▶ That means: there are group isomorphisms $\phi: G/Z(G) \rightarrow H/Z(H)$ and $\psi: G' \rightarrow H'$ such that $[-, -]_H \circ (\phi \times \phi) = \psi \circ [-, -]_G.$

- ► (Hall) "Commutator function" of G: $[-,-]_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \rightarrow G'.$
- (Hall) "Roughly, the definition amounts to this, that two groups (G and H) are *isoclinic* if and only if their commutator functions are essentially the same".
- ▶ That means: there are group isomorphisms $\phi: G/Z(G) \rightarrow H/Z(H)$ and $\psi: G' \rightarrow H'$ such that $[-, -]_H \circ (\phi \times \phi) = \psi \circ [-, -]_G.$
- Clearly an equivalence relation among groups, coarser than isomorphism.

- ► (Hall) "Commutator function" of G: $[-,-]_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \rightarrow G'.$
- (Hall) "Roughly, the definition amounts to this, that two groups (G and H) are *isoclinic* if and only if their commutator functions are essentially the same".
- ▶ That means: there are group isomorphisms $\phi: G/Z(G) \rightarrow H/Z(H)$ and $\psi: G' \rightarrow H'$ such that $[-, -]_H \circ (\phi \times \phi) = \psi \circ [-, -]_G.$
- Clearly an equivalence relation among groups, coarser than isomorphism.

Finite p-groups of odd order from now on.

► (Hall) "We have the theorem that" the numbers q_i/|G|, where q_i is the number of conjugacy classes of length pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- ► (Hall) "We have the theorem that" the numbers q_i/|G|, where q_i is the number of conjugacy classes of length pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.
- In fact, since all the elements of a coset of Z(G) have the same centralizer, the set of the elements of G whose conjugacy class has length pⁱ is a union of say n_i cosets of Z(G). We have that q_i = n_i|Z(G)|/pⁱ. Dividing by |G| we have the theorem: q_i/|G| = n_i/|G : Z(G)|pⁱ, as n_i is clearly an isoclinic invariant, and so is |G : Z(G)|.

- ► (Hall) "We have the theorem that" the numbers q_i/|G|, where q_i is the number of conjugacy classes of length pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.
- In fact, since all the elements of a coset of Z(G) have the same centralizer, the set of the elements of G whose conjugacy class has length pⁱ is a union of say n_i cosets of Z(G). We have that q_i = n_i|Z(G)|/pⁱ. Dividing by |G| we have the theorem: q_i/|G| = n_i/|G : Z(G)|pⁱ, as n_i is clearly an isoclinic invariant, and so is |G : Z(G)|.
- As a corollary, the set of the conjugacy classes lengths, and in particular the *breadth* b(G) (the largest *i* for which $q_i \neq 0$) and the *commutativity* k(G)/|G| are isoclinic invariants (k(G) is the sum of the q_i 's, the usual class number).

 (Hall) "A somewhat analogous series of numbers" r_i/|G|, where r_i is the number of irreducible complex characters of degree pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.

► (Hall) "A somewhat analogous series of numbers" r_i/|G|, where r_i is the number of irreducible complex characters of degree pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.

Proof by A. Mann, 1999 !

- (Hall) "A somewhat analogous series of numbers" r_i/|G|, where r_i is the number of irreducible complex characters of degree pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.
- Proof by A. Mann, 1999 !
- As a consequence, the set of the degrees of the characters, and in particular the largest character degree, are isoclinic invariants.

- ► (Hall) "A somewhat analogous series of numbers" r_i/|G|, where r_i is the number of irreducible complex characters of degree pⁱ of G, are isoclinic invariants.
- Proof by A. Mann, 1999 !
- As a consequence, the set of the degrees of the characters, and in particular the largest character degree, are isoclinic invariants.
- ► P. Hall then proves that in each "family" (i.e. equivalence class under the relation of isoclinism) there are groups for which Z(G) ≤ G', called stem groups of the family, and that they are all of the same minimal order among the groups of the family.

 Stem p-groups of breadth 1 (i.e. the non-central classes have order p) are the extraspecial p-groups. (Knoche 1951, Burnside 1911)

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

 Stem p-groups of breadth 1 (i.e. the non-central classes have order p) are the extraspecial p-groups. (Knoche 1951, Burnside 1911)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Extraspecial *p*-groups have unbounded order, unbounded largest character degree.

- Stem p-groups of breadth 1 (i.e. the non-central classes have order p) are the extraspecial p-groups. (Knoche 1951, Burnside 1911)
- Extraspecial *p*-groups have unbounded order, unbounded largest character degree.
- ► A bound on b(G) is not enough to bound the order of the corresponding stem groups.

▶ p-groups of small breadth have been studied by many authors: e.g. Gavioli, Mann, Monti, Previtali and S. (1998), and, with different techniques, Parmeggiani and Stellmacher (1999) gave a classification of p-groups of breadth 2 and 3.

- ▶ p-groups of small breadth have been studied by many authors: e.g. Gavioli, Mann, Monti, Previtali and S. (1998), and, with different techniques, Parmeggiani and Stellmacher (1999) gave a classification of p-groups of breadth 2 and 3.
- The set of possible values for the commutativity, i.e. the isoclinic invariant k(G)/|G| for such groups, is surprisingly small.

A systematic study was started, to understand how strictly the commutativity of the group depends on its structure.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- A systematic study was started, to understand how strictly the commutativity of the group depends on its structure.
- ► (A. Cupaiolo, N. Gavioli, A. Morresi Zuccari, CMS 2016) The breadth polynomial of G is defined as

$$k_G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{b(G)} \frac{k_i(G)}{|G|} x^i.$$

- A systematic study was started, to understand how strictly the commutativity of the group depends on its structure.
- ► (A. Cupaiolo, N. Gavioli, A. Morresi Zuccari, CMS 2016) The breadth polynomial of G is defined as

$$k_G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{b(G)} \frac{k_i(G)}{|G|} x^i.$$

We studied the behavior of the breadth polynomial under taking maximal subgroups, maximal quotients, and direct products. p-groups whose largest irreducible character degree is p have been characterized by Isaacs as those that either have center of index p³, or have a maximal abelian subgroup.

- p-groups whose largest irreducible character degree is p have been characterized by Isaacs as those that either have center of index p³, or have a maximal abelian subgroup.
- Among them we find the wreath product of a cyclic *p*-group with a group of order *p*: stem groups of unbounded order and unbounded breadth.

- p-groups whose largest irreducible character degree is p have been characterized by Isaacs as those that either have center of index p³, or have a maximal abelian subgroup.
- Among them we find the wreath product of a cyclic *p*-group with a group of order *p*: stem groups of unbounded order and unbounded breadth.

A bound on the largest character degree is not enough to bound the order of the corresponding stem groups.

- p-groups whose largest irreducible character degree is p have been characterized by Isaacs as those that either have center of index p³, or have a maximal abelian subgroup.
- Among them we find the wreath product of a cyclic *p*-group with a group of order *p*: stem groups of unbounded order and unbounded breadth.
- A bound on the largest character degree is not enough to bound the order of the corresponding stem groups.
- p-groups with bounded largest character degree have been extensively studied, see e.g. Chapter 12 of Isaacs book in Character Theory.

► The *character degree polynomial* of *G* is defined as

$$d_G(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i(G) x^i.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

▶ The *character degree polynomial* of *G* is defined as

$$d_G(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i(G) x^i.$$

We studied the properties of the character degree polynomial, that are not surprisingly similar to those of the breadth polynomial.

▶ The *character degree polynomial* of *G* is defined as

$$d_G(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i(G) x^i.$$

- We studied the properties of the character degree polynomial, that are not surprisingly similar to those of the breadth polynomial.
- ▶ We defined the breadth-degree type of a finite p-group G as the pair(b(G), d(G), where p^{d(G)} is the largest irreducible character degree of G, and we classified easily the groups of small breadth-degree type.

▶ The *character degree polynomial* of *G* is defined as

$$d_G(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i(G) x^i.$$

- We studied the properties of the character degree polynomial, that are not surprisingly similar to those of the breadth polynomial.
- We defined the breadth-degree type of a finite p-group G as the pair(b(G), d(G), where p^{d(G)} is the largest irreducible character degree of G, and we classified easily the groups of small breadth-degree type.
- ▶ We constructed examples showing that a bound on b(G) or on d(G) does not yield a bound on |G|, even if we assume that G is a stem group.

Both bounds together work!

- Both bounds together work!
- ► (N. Gavioli and V. Monti, 2018) A stem *p*-group of breadth *b* and largest character degree *p^d* has order bounded by *p^{b(3b+4d-1)/2}*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Both bounds together work!
- ► (N. Gavioli and V. Monti, 2018) A stem *p*-group of breadth *b* and largest character degree *p^d* has order bounded by *p^{b(3b+4d-1)/2}*.
- Only a finite number of stem p-groups for each choice of b and d.

The p-groups whose non-linear characters have the same degree were classified by Isaacs (1969). See his book on character theory.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- The p-groups whose non-linear characters have the same degree were classified by Isaacs (1969). See his book on character theory.
- ► The classification of groups whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length was started by Ito (1953) who reduced the problem to *p*-groups.

- The p-groups whose non-linear characters have the same degree were classified by Isaacs (1969). See his book on character theory.
- ► The classification of groups whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length was started by Ito (1953) who reduced the problem to *p*-groups.

▶ Isaacs (1970) proved that G/Z(G) has exponent p.

- The p-groups whose non-linear characters have the same degree were classified by Isaacs (1969). See his book on character theory.
- ▶ The classification of groups whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length was started by Ito (1953) who reduced the problem to *p*-groups.
- ▶ Isaacs (1970) proved that G/Z(G) has exponent p.
- Ishikawa (2002) proved that the nilpotency class of such groups is 2 or 3.

- The p-groups whose non-linear characters have the same degree were classified by Isaacs (1969). See his book on character theory.
- ► The classification of groups whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length was started by Ito (1953) who reduced the problem to *p*-groups.
- ▶ Isaacs (1970) proved that G/Z(G) has exponent p.
- Ishikawa (2002) proved that the nilpotency class of such groups is 2 or 3.
- By the result of Isaacs, the stem *p*-groups of class 2 are special.

 R. Dark and S. (1996) exhibited a family of examples of p-groups H(n) of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- R. Dark and S. (1996) exhibited a family of examples of p-groups H(n) of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.
- We have H(n)/Z(H(n) is isomorphic to U₃(n), the group of lower unitriangular matrices over GF(pⁿ).

- R. Dark and S. (1996) exhibited a family of examples of p-groups H(n) of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.
- We have H(n)/Z(H(n) is isomorphic to U₃(n), the group of lower unitriangular matrices over GF(pⁿ).
- T.K. Naik, R.D. Kitture and M.K.Yadav have shown that, up to isoclinism, these are the only examples of stem *p*-groups of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.
- ► They prove that G/Z(G) is isomorphic to U₃(n) and that G' and H(n)' are isomorphic.

- R. Dark and S. (1996) exhibited a family of examples of p-groups H(n) of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.
- We have H(n)/Z(H(n) is isomorphic to U₃(n), the group of lower unitriangular matrices over GF(pⁿ).
- T.K. Naik, R.D. Kitture and M.K.Yadav have shown that, up to isoclinism, these are the only examples of stem *p*-groups of class 3 whose non-central conjugacy classes have the same length.
- ► They prove that G/Z(G) is isomorphic to U₃(n) and that G' and H(n)' are isomorphic.

► Finally they show the uniqueness of the commutation map.

 (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

.

 (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.

.

• $f_1(G)$ will be the maximal value of $m_G(H)$ over all $H \leq G$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.
- $f_1(G)$ will be the maximal value of $m_G(H)$ over all $H \leq G$.

• Define $\mathcal{F}_1(G) = \{H \leq G | m_G(H) = f_1(G)\}.$

- (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.
- $f_1(G)$ will be the maximal value of $m_G(H)$ over all $H \leq G$.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_1(G) = \{H \leq G | m_G(H) = f_1(G)\}.$
- ► Theorem (Chermak-Delgado): F₁(G) is a sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of G, as products and intersections of elements of F₁ are in F₁. See Isaacs book on finite groups.

- (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.
- $f_1(G)$ will be the maximal value of $m_G(H)$ over all $H \leq G$.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_1(G) = \{H \leq G | m_G(H) = f_1(G)\}.$
- ► Theorem (Chermak-Delgado): *F*₁(*G*) is a sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of *G*, as products and intersections of elements of *F*₁ are in *F*₁. See Isaacs book on finite groups.
- ► G. Glauberman (2006) studied the elements H of F₁(G) such that C_G(H) = Z(H).

- (A. Chermak and A. Delgado, 1989) For H ≤ G define m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.
- $f_1(G)$ will be the maximal value of $m_G(H)$ over all $H \leq G$.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_1(G) = \{H \leq G | m_G(H) = f_1(G)\}.$

- ► Theorem (Chermak-Delgado): *F*₁(*G*) is a sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of *G*, as products and intersections of elements of *F*₁ are in *F*₁. See Isaacs book on finite groups.
- ► G. Glauberman (2006) studied the elements H of F₁(G) such that C_G(H) = Z(H).
- ► V. Russo, A Morresi-Zuccari and S. (2018) observed that many properties of F₁(G) are invariant under isoclinism, and applied this to the study of its structure for groups in which the only element of F₁(G) that contains its centralizer is G itself (SMCL groups).